



Technical WorkGroup Meeting Instream Flow-Fish Instream Flow Methods Review October 2-4, 2012

Prepared by R2 Resource Consultants

Draft Version - September 27, 2014



Susitna IFS Site Selection Process ² Follow-up to 9-14 TWG meeting

- Identify potential Focus Area study sites for planning purposes (Sep 2012)
- Use mapping results to evaluate habitat variability, conduct statistical power analysis, refine intensive sites and identify supplementary sites (Dec 2012)
- TWG confirmation of sites (Feb/Mar 2013)
- Collect data during summer 2013
- Evaluate summer 2013 data and modify/add sites as needed in collaboration with TWG (Nov 2013)
- Collect additional data as needed summer 2014



Focus Area Study Sites



SUSITNA-WATANA
 Hydroelectric project

3

Instream Flow Study (IFS)– Objectives (from PAD)

• Intent: to evaluate effects of Project operations on habitat quality and availability.

• Study objective: to characterize aquatic and riparian habitat as a function of flow using <u>site-specific data</u>, ecological principles, and <u>modeling methodologies</u> as needed. *The information developed from this study, in combination with other resource studies (e.g., water temperature, fish abundance and distribution, geomorphology, and riparian), will provide a basis for streamflow-related resource management decisions and impact analyses.*

 Specific objective: provide habitat versus flow relationships necessary to quantify the potential effects of the Project and other alternative flow scenarios on aquatic and riparian habitat.

Agenda

- Quick Review of Fish-habitat flow requirements
- Review of 1980s Methods
- Contemporary Methods Review
 - Office based
 - Field based
 - Varial zone modeling
- Methods Selection Process and Criteria
- Tying it all together Operations Modeling and Decision Support System (DSS)
- Pilot Winter Studies
- TWG Schedule
- Itinerary for Site Reconnaissance



Potential Flow Related Project Effects

- Temporal changes in flow magnitude and habitat availability
- Variable flow effects due to load following:
 - Stranding/Trapping
 - Varial zone development effects on invertebrate productivity
 - Disruption of spawning
 - Redd dewatering/egg incubation
- Alteration in the frequency and timing of side channel and side slough connectivity
- Changes in channel geometry due to alteration in sediment balance and transport functions
- Changes in flood frequency and magnitude and associated effects on riparian ecosystems
- Changes in temperature regime and associated ecological effects
- Changes in water quality characteristics DO, TDG, etc....





UPSTREAM MIGRATION

Streamflow influenced parameters: physical barriers, turbidity, water depth – minimum, water velocity - maximum, water temperature.





SPAWNING

Streamflow influenced parameters: water depth, water velocity, substrate, water temperature, dissolved oxygen, cover, groundwater upwelling



INCUBATION and Fry Emergence

Suitable Flows



Reduced FlowsReduced Water Velocities





Suitable Flows

Reduced Flows

Reduced Water Velocities

- Decreased Food Production
- Reduced Water Quality





REARING



Side Channel and Slough Habitat Connectivity Fry nursery habitat, juvenile rearing habitat, velocity and thermal refuge habitats, spawning habitat, gravel and wood recruitment.



DOWNSTREAM PASSAGE

Movement typically synchronous with runoff; turbidity, freshets, water temperature





HABITAT FORMATION AND FUNCTION

Sediment transport – pools/riffles, riparian habitat, substrate quality, aquifer recharge ,hyporheic zone.





ICE FORMATION AND FUNCTION

Channel formation, sediment transport, side channel and off-channel connectivity, overwintering habitat conditions.



Channel – Riparian-Q Interactions





Factors Involved in Assessing Instream Flows

Basin Hydrology

- perennial or intermittent
- base/ peak flows
- flow duration (daily, monthly, annual)
- surface flow connectivity

•Fish Use

- resident, anadromous, both
- life stage use (e.g., spawning, rearing)

Channel Morphology

- bankfull width depth
- local slope and confinement
- disturbance regime and sensitivity
- Project Operating Conditions
 - baseline
 - proposed



Methods Used in 1980s

- IFG4 and IFG2 (1-Dimensional Modeling): Instream Flow Incremental Methodology – IFIM and Physical Habitat Simulation (PHABSIM) Models
- DIHAB (Direct Habitat analysis)
- RJHAB (Resident Juvenile Habitat Model)
- Aerial Imagery and Habitat Mapping (Digitization)
- Other

(See List and Map for Locations)

IFG4 and IFG2

- IFG4 individual models developed for each transect: site evaluation based on composited WUA
- IFG2 (aka WSP) model developed for entire study site: transects tied together
- 1980s Studies used for developing habitatflow relationships for spawning and juvenile life stages



DIHAB

- Focused on chum spawning habitats
- Designed to capture parameters/features not readily addressed in PHABSIM type models (i.e. upwelling, turbidity)



RJHAB

- Applied Grid network to assess juvenile habitatflow relationships
- Incorporated fish sampling in effort to relate habitats to flow and fish abundance









Placeholder – refer to PPT with 1980s Study Sites



Contemporary Methods to Assess Effects

- Upstream Fish Passage Issues
 - Powers and Orsborn (1984) physical obstacles (falls, cascades and chutes)
 - Thompson (1974) flow related (minimum depth and maximum velocity)
- Spatial Habitat Requirements and Impacts
 - Many different methods
 - Hydrologic Based
 - IFIM PHABSIM1D- and 2D- modeling -
 - Effective Spawning Habitat/Varial Zone Analysis
 - others

Contemporary Methods to Assess Effects

- Side Channel/off-channel Connectivity
 - Side channel main channel stage/discharge
 relationships: define functionality of channel
 - Aerial Photography/Habitat mapping
 - GIS mapping
- Downstream Passage
 - Hydrologic modeling define project operational effects
 - Species periodicities



Contemporary Methods to Assess Effects

- Fluvial Geomorphology Issues
 - Sediment transport modeling
 - Substrate characterization
 - RTK/GPS Topographic surveys
- Temperature Effects
 - Temperature monitoring and modeling
 - SNTEMP surface flow method
 - River1D under ice method
 - FLIR/TIR imaging



Tennant Method



Hydrology based - % of Average Annual Flow

Table 1. Instream flow regimes for fish habitat (Tennant, 1976).

| Narrative Descriptions | Recommended Base Flow Regimes (QAA) | | | |
|---------------------------|--|-----------|--|--|
| of Flows | Oct. – Mar. | AprSept. | | |
| Flushing Flow | 200% | 200% | | |
| Optimal Range | 60 - 100% | 60 - 100% | | |
| Outstanding | 40% | 60% | | |
| Excellent | 30% | 50% | | |
| Good | 20% | 40% | | |
| Fair | 10% | 30% | | |
| Poor or Minimum | 10% | 10% | | |
| Severe Degradation | 10% | 10% | | |



Indicators of Hydrologic Alteration and Environmental Flow Components (Richter et al. 1996)



Hydrological Based

Comparison of 67 hydrological parameters relative to unaltered vs. altered conditions



IHA Parameters

| Parameter Group | Number of parameters | Example parameter(s) |
|---|----------------------|--|
| Magnitude of monthly water conditions | 12 | Mean or median value for each calendar month |
| Magnitude & duration of annual extreme water conditions | 12 | Annual minima / maxima for 1-, 3-, 7-, 30-, and 90-day means Number of zero-flow days |
| Timing of annual extreme water conditions | 2 | Julian date of each annual 1-day maximum / minimum |
| Frequency & duration of high & low pulses | 4 | Mean or median duration of low / high pulses (days) Number of low / high pulses per yr. |
| Rate & frequency of water condition changes | 3 | Rise ratesFall ratesNumber of hydrologic reversals |

Total of 33 parameters



EFC Parameters

| EFC Type | Number | Example parameter(s) |
|-------------------|--------|---|
| Monthly low flows | 12 | Mean or median values of low flows during each calendar month |
| Extreme low flows | 4 | Frequency of extreme low flows during each water year or season Mean or median values of extreme low flow event (duration, peak flow, timing) |
| High flow pulses | 6 | Frequency of high flow pulses during each water year or season Mean or median values of high flow pulse event (duration, peak flow, timing, rise and fall rates) |
| Small floods | 6 | Frequency of small floods during each water year or season Mean or median values of small flood event (duration, peak flow, timing, rise and fall rates) |
| Large floods | 6 | Frequency of large floods during each water year or season Mean or median values of large flood event (duration, peak flow, timing, rise and fall rates) |

Total of 34 parameters



Wetted Perimeter



WP – "inflection points" = minimum flow

Wetted Perimeter, Inflection Point Flows



PHABSIM – 1-dimensional modeling Habitat:Q Weighted usable area (WUA) v Q – <u>starting point</u> Incremental method – evaluate tradeoffs





Weighted Usable Area Curves of Coho Salmon

PHABSIM -2-dimensional modeling





Project Objectives – Methods

- Develop reach-specific habitat:flow relationships for target species/lifestages – Apply 1-D PHABSIM modeling.
- * Develop integrated <u>aquatic habitat model</u> that produces <u>a time series</u> of data over a range of flow conditions and under select alternative <u>operational</u> <u>scenarios</u>.





STUDY INTEGRATION



HYDROELECTRIC PROJECT

A

Seasonal operational constraints applied to each study reach

Operations Model

Daily flows in each study reach for wet, dry, and average years

Time series of Weighted Usable Area (WUA) for each species/life stage



Operations Model

Daily flows at upstream end of Reach 2



Reach 2: Spawning





Time Series

 of Chinook
 spawning
 Weighted
 Usable Area
 in Reach 2



Habitat Duration Chinook spawning in Reach 2



Chinook Spawning Habitat Summary Average Weighted Usable Area (1,000 m²)





HABITAT MAPPING AT MULTIPLE FLOWS - PGandE Pitt 3,4,5



Habitat Mapping Spring Flow Releases / Aerial Photography

- Base, 250, 400, 600, 800, 1200 cfs
- Photograph Entire Pit 3, 4, 5 Reach
- 1:7200 Scale, 10 cm Pixel
- Goal: Produce Photographs That Could be Used to Map Microhabitat Polygons and Riparian Vegetation





Digitized, QA/QC'd Maps



HYDROELECTRIC PROJECT

Microhabitat-Flow Curves: By Site



Stranding/Trapping and Varial Zone Analysis



Susitna-Watana Instream Flow Study – Fish -Methods Selection -



Susitna-Watana Instream Flow Study-Fish

- WUA for target species and lifestages
- Effective Spawning Habitat
 - dewatering
 - upwelling
 - water temperature and DO
 - sediment transport
 - ice processes
- Varial Zone (stranding and trapping)
- Ramping Rates
- Passage/habitat connectivity

DROELECTRIC PROJECT

IFS Program Goal is to: identify existing, or develop new method(s) best suited for evaluating potential effects of flow regulation of the Susitana-Watana Dam Project and its operations on fish and aquatic resources of the Susitna River



Methods Selection

Process (coordinated with TWG):

- Review methods utilized in 1980s
- Review contemporary methods and models
- Identify habitat types and features warranting habitat- flow assessment
- Select one or more methods best suited to evaluate flow effects for each habitat type and feature
- Review with TWG and reach agreement on specific methods to be applied in 2013 – *Prepare TM describing Methods selection process*

Methods Selection

Considerations/Criteria:

- The predictive capability of the method or model to extrapolate results over a range of flows.
- The ability of the method to depict flow and habitat changes incrementally.
- The applicability of the methodology to different fish species (and life stages), including anadromous and resident salmonids.
- The biological soundness of the methodology results (i.e., habitat-flow relationship curves and criteria that relate directly to the fish species present in the Susitna River system).
- The sensitivity of the method/model output to the individual user (i.e., ability to control bias).
- The reproducibility of results, both field data collection and modeling.
- Compatibility of model/methods results into operations modeling
- The acceptability of the method/model by TWG members.



Instream Flow – Fish

Proposed habitat modeling techniques at instream flow-fish study sites (primary/secondary)

| Physical & Biological | Habitat Types | | | | | | | |
|-----------------------|--------------------------|---------------|-----------------|---------------------|--|--|--|--|
| Processes | Mainchannel Side Channel | | Slough | Tributary Mouths | | | | |
| Spawning | ESH/PHAB(2D) | ESH/PHAB(2D) | ESH/PHAB(2D) | ESH/PHAB | | | | |
| Incubation | ESH | ESH | ESH | ESH | | | | |
| Juvenile Rearing | PHAB(2D) | PHAB(2D) | PHAB(2D)/HabMap | PHAB | | | | |
| Adult Holding | PHAB(2D) | PHAB(2D) | PHAB(2D) | PHAB/HabMap | | | | |
| Macroinvertebrates | VZM | VZM | VZM | VZM | | | | |
| Standing/Trapping | VZM | VZM | VZM | VZM | | | | |
| Upwelling/Downwelling | HabMap | HabMap | HabMap | HabMap | | | | |
| Temperature | WQ | WQ | WQ | WQ | | | | |
| Ice Formation | IPM/WQ/HabMap | IPM/WQ/HabMap | IPM/WQ/HabMap | NA | | | | |

PHAB-Physical Habitat Simulation Modeling (2D, 1D, or empirical); ESH-Effective Spawning Habitat ; VZM-Varial Zone Modeling; HabMap-Surface Area Mapping; WQ-Water Quality Modeling; WP-Wetted Perimeter Modeling; IPM-Ice Processes Model

* Does not consider main-channel x-sections measured for Q routing model; 78 transects in Middle Reach: 16 above Devils Canyon/61 below; 19 transects in Lower Reach

"Brailey" RM 143.2 – Main/Side Channels Total Q = 32,700 cfs – June 27, 2012



"Brailey" RM 143.2 – Main/Side Channels Total Q = 32,700 cfs – June 27, 2012







"Brailey" RM 117.2 – Left/Right Channel Total Q = 23,000 cfs – July 6, 2012



"Brailey" RM 117.2 – Left/Right Channel Total Q = 23,000 cfs – July 6, 2012



SUSITNA-WATANA

Tying It All Together Decision Support System



Decision Support System (DSS) Objectives

- Developed to assist in water management decisions regarding choices among different operating rules or scenarios (Auble et al. 2009)
- Goal of a DSS is to reduce the complexity of large data sets of simulated flow alternatives
- Basic approach is to array indicators of resources and responses
- Analyze and interpret water management and reservoir operations by focusing attention on tradeoffs among indicators



Decision Support System (DSS) What are Indicators?

6

0

 Indicators are explicit and replicable calculations that describe the effects of alternate flow regimes

Caveats

- Indicators imperfectly represent physical and biological responses
- Balance between reducing complexity and oversimplification
- Decision support systems are not used to identify a preferred alternative, but to inform the evaluation of alternatives

Decision Support System (DSS)

6 1

Conceptual DSS Indicators

- Power
- Hydrologic
- Reservoir
- Ramping rates
- Varial Zone (stranding and trapping)
- Salmon Spawning and Incubation
- Riparian
- Recreation and Access
- Other Aquatic



Decision Support System (DSS)

Refer to handout or MSProject file:

Conceptual Comparison of Multiple Resource Indicators of Alternate Operational Scenarios [SuWa_ScenarioCompExample_20120926.doc]

(Indicators and values are provided for illustration purposes only)





- Objectives:
 - 1. Monitor intergravel temperature and DO conditions in and near known spawning areas (determine egg incubation conditions (and estimate emergence times) and collect data that will assist in determining how these conditions may change relative to flow regulation)
 - Information important for understanding spatially distinct patterns of egg incubation and fry emergence timing and duration that can be used in evaluating potential project operational effects

HYDROELECTRIC PROJECT



Objectives (continued):

- 2. Monitor intergravel temperatures proximal to upwelling areas and within main channel
 - Useful for understanding groundwater/surface water interactions
- 3. Define zones of groundwater influence installation and monitoring of piezometers
- 4. Evaluate juvenile fish behavior, habitat utilization, and relative abundance during winter conditions (under ice and open water threads)
 - Information important for understanding current use patterns and potential project operational effects



Objectives (continued):

- 5. Pilot study Test different monitoring devices and sampling approaches:
 - Temperature: Onset TidbiT v2, TinyTag, Remote, FLIR Handheld
 - DO: Onset Combination Temperature and DO recorder (HOBO Dissolved Oxygen Logger U26-001; YSI, others)
 - UW Cameras, including DIDSON
 - Pressure transducers/stage recorders
 - Piezometers
 - Fish sampling approaches: minnow traps, trot lines, etc.
- 6. Expand studies in 2013 (other seasonal monitoring)



Two Study Sites: * Whiskers Slough Complex * Slough 8A

See Mock-ups of Study Sites



Habitat Suitability Criteria (HSC) 1980s Summary



Microhabitat Study Sites from 1980s Susitna Studies

| Study Site | RM* | Juvenile Salmon Rearing | Resident Adult | Salmon Spawning |
|---------------------|-----|-------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------|
| Whiskers Cr. Slough | 101 | \checkmark | \checkmark | |
| Whiskers Cr. | 101 | | \checkmark | |
| Chase Cr. | 107 | \checkmark | | |
| Slough 5 | 108 | \checkmark | | |
| Oxbow 1 | 110 | \checkmark | | |
| Slough 6A | 112 | \checkmark | \checkmark | |
| Lane Cr. | 114 | | \checkmark | |
| Slough 8 | 114 | \checkmark | | |
| Mainstem 2 | 114 | \checkmark | | |
| Slough 8A | 125 | \checkmark | \checkmark | \checkmark |
| Fourth of July Cr. | 131 | | \checkmark | \checkmark |
| Slough 9 | 129 | \checkmark | | \checkmark |
| Slough 9A | 133 | | | \checkmark |
| Sidechannel 10A | 127 | \checkmark | | |
| Sidechannel 10 | 134 | \checkmark | | |
| Slough 11 | 135 | \checkmark | \checkmark | \checkmark |
| Indian River | 139 | \checkmark | \checkmark | \checkmark |
| Slough 17 | 138 | | | \checkmark |
| Slough 20 | 140 | | | \checkmark |
| Jack Long Creek | 145 | | \checkmark | |
| Slough 21 | 142 | \checkmark | | \checkmark |
| Slough 22 | 144 | \checkmark | | \checkmark |
| Portage Creek | 149 | \checkmark | \checkmark | \checkmark |
| Cheechako Cr. | 153 | | | \checkmark |

*Approximate river-miles based on 1980s reports

Preliminary Summary of Microhabitat Data Collected During 1980s Susitna Studies

(values indicate individual fish observations)

| Species | Life Stage | Depth | Velocity | Substrate | Upwelling* | Cover | Turbidity* |
|---|------------|-------|----------|-----------|------------|-------|------------|
| Coho | Juvenile | 2,020 | 2,020 | 2,020 | 0 | 2,020 | 0 |
| Chinook | Juvenile | 4,395 | 4,395 | 4,395 | 0 | 4,395 | 0 |
| | Spawning | 265 | 265 | 265 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sockeye | Juvenile | 1,006 | 1,006 | 1,006 | 0 | 1,006 | 0 |
| | Spawning | 81 | 65 | 81 | 56 | 0 | 0 |
| Chum | Juvenile | 1,157 | 1,157 | 1,157 | 0 | 1,157 | 0 |
| | Spawning | 386 | 386 | 360 | 235 | 0 | 0 |
| Pink | Spawning | 8 | 8 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Rainbow Trout | Adult | 143 | 143 | 0 | 0 | 143 | 143 |
| Dolly Varden | Adult | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 |
| Arctic Grayling | Adult | 140 | 140 | 0 | 0 | 140 | 140 |
| Humpback Whitefish | Adult | 15 | 15 | 0 | 0 | 15 | 15 |
| Round Whitefish | Adult | 384 | 384 | 0 | 0 | 384 | 384 |
| Longnose Sucker | Adult | 157 | 157 | 0 | 0 | 157 | 157 |
| Burbot | Adult | 18 | 18 | 0 | 0 | 18 | 18 |
| SUSITINA-VVATAIN Hydroelectric project | | | | | | | |

A

HSC Curves Developed during 1980s Susitna Studies

| Species | Life Stage | Depth | Velocity | Substrate | Upwelling | Cover | Turbidity ⁴ |
|-----------------|------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|-----------|--------------|------------------------|
| Coho | Juvenile | √ 1 | \checkmark | | | \checkmark | |
| | Spawning | \checkmark | \checkmark | \checkmark | | | |
| Chinook | Juvenile | √ 1 | \checkmark | | | \checkmark | \checkmark |
| | Spawning | \checkmark | \checkmark | \checkmark | | | |
| Sockeye | Juvenile | √ 1 | \checkmark | | | \checkmark | |
| | Spawning | \checkmark | \checkmark | \checkmark | √3 | | |
| Chum | Juvenile | √ 1 | \checkmark | | | \checkmark | |
| | Spawning | \checkmark | \checkmark | \checkmark | √3 | | |
| Pink | Spawning | \checkmark | \checkmark | \checkmark | | | |
| Rainbow Trout | Adult | √ 2 | \checkmark | | | \checkmark | \checkmark |
| Arctic Grayling | Adult | √ 2 | \checkmark | | | \checkmark | \checkmark |
| Round Whitefish | Juvenile | \checkmark | \checkmark | | | \checkmark | \checkmark |
| | Adult | √2 | \checkmark | | | \checkmark | \checkmark |
| Longnose Sucker | Adult | √2 | \checkmark | | | \checkmark | \checkmark |

SUSITNA-WATANA

HYDROELECTRIC PROJECT

^{1, 2} Depth curves for multiple species combined

⁸ Integrated with substrate suitability

⁴Separate curves developed for clear vs. turbid water for one or more parameters